DIGITAL GOVERNMENT PRINCIPLES, PRACTICE AND RESEARCH

MODULE 5: DIGITAL GOVERNMENT AND ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE

TOMASZ JANOWSKI GDAŃSK UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, POLAND DANUBE UNIVERSITY KREMS, AUSTRIA

ELSA ESTEVEZ AND PABLO FILLOTRANI UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DEL SUR, ARGENTINA

| 1 | To introduce the concept of organizational transformation in the public sector |
|---|---|
| 2 | To present how ICT and Electronic Governance can support the reform efforts |
| 3 | To present two approaches to reform: change management and business process reengineering |

OVERVIEW

| 1 | CONCEPT | What is the concept of organizational transformation in the public sector? | |
|---|-------------|--|--|
| 2 | ICT SUPPORT | How ICT and Electronic Governance can support organizational transformation? | |
| 3 | APPROACHES | What are possible approaches to organizational transformation? | |
| | | Change Management | |
| | | Business Process Reengineering | |
| 4 | SUMMARY | What was presented in this module? | |

WHAT IS THE CONCEPT OF ORGANIZATIONAL TRANSFORMATION IN THE PUBLIC SECTOR?

ORGANIZATIONAL TRANSFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL TRANSFORMATION The process of fundamental changes in structure, culture, business processes and service delivery to meet new demands and satisfy increasing customer needs.

| DRIVE | DRIVERS | |
|-------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Customer satisfaction | |
| 2 | Quality of products and services | |
| 3 | Innovation | |
| 4 | Productivity | |
| 5 | Effectiveness | |
| 6 | Efficiency | |
| 7 | Quality of work | |
| 8 | Employee development | |

PRINCIPLES

| PRINCIPLES | |
|------------|--|
| 1 | Looking at organization as a system - people, technology and processes |
| 2 | Involving stakeholders at all stages and at all levels |
| 3 | Having a clear and measurable vision of a future state |
| 4 | Having a comprehensive program to manage the change |



DIGITAL GOVERNMENT AND DEVELOPMENT – DIGITAL GOVERNMENT AND ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE UNS, BAHIA BLANCA | 14-25 AUGUST 2017 | 6

TRANSFORMED SYSTEMS – MODEL



Source: "Organizational Transformation and Process Re- engineering", J.Edosomwan

PUBLIC SECTOR TRANSFORMATION

PUBLIC SECTOR TRANSFORMATION a set of processes leading to a change in the features of the public sector, from a static organization-driven model to a dynamic user-driven model.

[OECD]



Public sector transformation is a continuous process of changing the features of the public sector.

Transformation of the public sector is about creating a coherent system of better and smarter public services that best meets increasing demands from citizens and businesses.



| DIMENSION | TRANSFORMATIONAL GOALS | COUNTRIES |
|-----------|--|--|
| INTERNAL | Efficiency Effectiveness | Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Japan, Luxemburg, Mexico, Netherlands, New Zealand, Portugal, Turkey, USA. |
| | Structural and organizational change | Finland, Hungary, Japan, Netherlands, Switzerland, Turkey |
| | Regulatory reform Administrative simplification | Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Japan, Luxemburg, Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Switzerland. |
| EXTERNAL | User-focused (citizens and/or businesses) public sector development | Austria, Finland, Hungary, New Zealand, Portugal, USA |
| | Improving quality of services | Austria, Denmark, Finland, France, Hungary, Luxemburg, Mexico, Portugal, USA |
| | Increasing openness and transparency | Luxemburg, Mexico, Spain, USA |

Source: OECD e-Government as a tool for transformation", 2007

GOVERNMENT REFORM

| REFORM | to induce or cause a change for the better. [Webster 's International Dictionary] |
|-------------------|---|
| GOVERNMENT REFORM | To induce a change in a government organization for the better. |

WHY?

Response to pressure from citizens and other stakeholders towards, among other things, improved service quality and higher internal efficiency while being transparent and accountable

GOOD GOVERNANCE



WHAT IS EXPECTED?

Radical change or transformation similar to the case of the private sector

GOOD GOVERNANCE

GOOD GOVERNANCE consists of a:

- o public service that is efficient,
- o a judicial system that is reliable, and
- o an administration that is accountable to the public.

[World Bank]

ELEMENTS

PUBLIC SECTOR MANAGEMENT

ACCOUNTABILITY IN PUBLIC SERVICE

PREDICTABLE LEGAL FRAMEWORK

AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION AND TRANSPARENCY

to emphasize the need for effective financial and human resource management

to ensure effective accounting, auditing and decentralization

to provide rules known in advance and an independent judiciary system

to enhance policy analysis, promote public debate and reduce risks of corruption

TRANSFORMATIONAL TRENDS



New generation of public reforms focus more on building a strong and unified sense of values, trust, knowledge-based management and collaboration; team building; involving participating organizations; and improving the training and self development of public servants.

| TRENDS | | | PROCESS INNOVATION | PRODUCT/ | ORGANIZATIONAL |
|--------|-------------------------|-------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| 1 | NEW PUBLIC MANAGEMENT | | INNOVATION | SERVICE INNOVATION | INNOVATION |
| 2 | WHOLE-OF-GOVERNMENT | | Y | | |
| 3 | PUBLIC VALUE MANAGEMENT | 1980s | Х | | |
| | | 1990s | Х | х | |
| | | 2000s | Х | Х | Х |

NEW PUBLIC MANAGEMENT

NEW PUBLIC
MANAGEMENT
(NPM)a global phenomenon reform shifting the emphasis from traditional public administration to
public management.
NPM-reform leads to introduce market orientation in the public sector, assuming that the
approach will lead to reducing costs and increasing efficiency

MAIN FEATURES

- seeks to enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of the public sector
- introduces a management culture that emphasizes the centrality of the citizen who are seen as clients and shareholders (instead of petitioner)

| TYPE OF CHANGES | EXAMPLE OF CHANGES |
|--|---|
| Structural Organization Managerial Cultural | Cutting cost and increasing labor discipline Business-like management practices to increase flexibility in decision making Explicit standards and performance measures with challenging targets Competition in the public sector through contracts and tendering Decentralization to make units more manageable and increase competition Orientation shifts from inputs to outputs to stress results rather than procedure |

| WHOLE-OF-GOVERNMENT | denotes public services agencies working across portfolio boundaries to achieve a |
|---------------------|---|
| | shared goal and an integrated government response to particular issues. |

GOALS

- To see Public Administration as an interconnected whole
- o To eliminate situations in which different policies undermine each other
- To shift from single-purpose organizations to 'whole of government", and establish horizontal coordination
- To solve problems without fragmentation, self-centered authorities and lack of cooperation and coordination
- To make better use of government resources by reducing duplication
- To bring together different stakeholders in a particular policy area
- To offer citizens seamless rather than fragmented access to services

PUBLIC VALUE MANAGEMENT

PUBLIC VALUE MANAGEMENT shifts focus from cost efficiency to the value created by increasing trust, improving services and outcomes of government policies.

DIMENSIONS



Source: "Public value, reform and innovation", G. Mulgan

GOVERNMENT REFORM APPROACHES

| KEY APPROACHES | |
|---|--|
| POLICY REFORMS | To facilitate participative Governance processes for better business opportunities and economic growth |
| ELECTRONIC GOVERNANCE | To promote the effective use of ICTs in improved processes, integrated services and citizen engagement in policy and decision making |
| BUSINESS PROCESS REENGINEERING | To enhance efficiency and effectiveness |
| KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT | for better decision making and cultural changes |
| RESULT-BASED MANAGEMENT, RISK MANAGEMENT | for strategic planning, monitoring and evaluation of governance processes |
| CHANGE MANAGEMENT | for smooth transformation |



RECALL A MAJOR CHANGE IN YOUR ORGANIZATION.

WHAT WAS CHANGED – STRUCTURE, REGULATION, PROCESSES, ATTITUDE, ETC.?

WHAT WAS THE FOCUS OF THE CHANGE – INTERNAL EFFICIENCY, CUSTOMER SATISFACTION, QUALITY OF SERVICES, ETC.?

OVERVIEW

| 1 | CONCEPT | What is the concept of organizational transformation in the public sector? | |
|---|-------------|--|--|
| 2 | ICT SUPPORT | How ICT and Electronic Governance can support organizational transformation? | |
| 3 | APPROACHES | What are possible approaches to organizational transformation? | |
| | | Change Management | |
| | | Business Process Reengineering | |
| 4 | SUMMARY | What was presented in this module? | |

HOW CAN ICT AND ELECTRONIC GOVERNANCE SUPPORT ORGANIZATIONAL TRANSFORMATION?

ICT AND TRANSFORMATION

Governments have turned to ICT as a lever for better public sector performance to meet increasing internal and external demands, and looking at e-government development as a tool for public sector transformation. [OECD]

FEATURES

- ICT can assist in achieving governance reforms or desired transformation
- Technology alone does not transform government
- Government cannot transform to meet modern citizens' expectations without technology
- Transforming government not through technology but through the use of technology

BENEFITS

- Modernizing/transforming government infrastructure, processes, and communications
- Improving service delivery to citizens and businesses through different channels
- Improving public sector efficiency
- Improving transparency and opportunities for citizen engagement

E-GOVERNMENT AND E-GOVERNANCE

E-Government is the use of ICTs for transforming Public Administration by improving efficiency and effectiveness and service delivery based on user demands. E-Governance is the use of ICTs for Governance transformation by implementing e-Government and citizen engagement in policy and decision making and improving relations with all other governance actors.



E-GOVERNANCE AND REFORM

LINKING CONCEPTS

Reforms towards e-Governance involves ICT based outcomes and subsequent ICT support for internal operations as well as government interactions with its stakeholders.

OBSERVATIONS

- Reform is intrinsically part of any well conceived e-Governance agenda
- e-Governance by definition differs from traditional ICT application to government automation or computerization
- e-Governance is a tool for achieving the desired governance transformation



RECALL ONE EGOV INITIATIVE IMPLEMENTED IN YOUR ORGANIZATION WHICH INVOLVED ORGANIZATIONAL TRANSFORMATION.

CAN YOU IDENTIFY CHALLENGES FACED AND BENEFITS OBTAINED?

WAS THE ORGANIZATIONAL TRANSFORMATION NEEDED?

OVERVIEW

| 1 | CONCEPT | What is the concept of organizational transformation in the public sector? |
|---|-------------|--|
| 2 | ICT SUPPORT | How ICT and Electronic Governance can support organizational transformation? |
| 3 | APPROACHES | What are possible approaches to organizational transformation? |
| | | Change Management |
| | | Business Process Reengineering |
| 4 | SUMMARY | What was presented in this module? |

WHAT ARE THE POSSIBLE APPROACHES TO ORGANIZATIONAL TRANSFORMATION?

CHANGE MANAGEMENT

CHANGE MANAGEMENT

CHANGE aims to implement different structures, systems and skills in an operating organization.
MANAGEMENT

WHY?

- o is necessary to ensure the effectiveness of the desired changes
- o ensures that no undesired side effects of the changes are introduced into the organization
- o provides strategies to manage the resistance to change

CHANGE IS THE ONLY CONSTANT IN OUR LIFE.

RESISTANCE TO CHANGE

OBSERVATION The rate of change in public organizations is generally slow due to resistance from different stakeholders.

REASONS FOR RESISTING CHANGE ARE GENERALLY FEARS:

- of failing in a changed environment due to uncertainty about it
- o of more control on performance
- of being controlled by technology

WHY CHANGE EFFORTS FAIL?

| TOO MUCH COMPLACENCY | "if it ain't broke, don't fix it" attitude |
|------------------------------------|--|
| NO GUIDING COALITION | individuals alone never have the power to carry out large changes |
| NO VISION | transformation dissolves into confusing, incompatible, time-consuming projects |
| UNDERCOMMUNICATED VISION | decisions are not in line with the vision |
| OBSTACLES TO THE NEW VISION | such as structures or compensation systems |
| NO SHORT-TERM WINS | people give up and join the resistance |
| DECLARING VICTORY TOO SOON | resistors spot the chance to have change agents send home |
| CHANGES NOT ANCHORED IN CULTURE | changes won't stick until they become "the way we do things here" |

CONSEQUENCES

WITH LACK OR POOR CHANGE MANAGEMENT:

- New strategies are not implemented well
- Reengineering takes too long and costs too much
- Downsizing or rightsizing does not get costs under control
- Quality programmes do not deliver expected results

EIGHT STEP CHANGE PROCESS

| ACTIVITIES | | | TASKS |
|------------|--|---|--|
| UNFREEZE | defrosting hardened status quo | 1 | Establishing a sense of urgency |
| | | 2 | Creating the guiding coalition |
| | | 3 | Developing a vision and strategy |
| | | 4 | Communicating the change vision |
| MOVE | introducing new practices | 5 | Empowering employees for action |
| | | 6 | Creating short-term wins |
| | | 7 | Consolidating gains, produce more change |
| FREEZE | grounding changes into organizational culture | 8 | Anchoring new approaches in the culture |

STEP 1 – SENSE OF URGENCY

SOURCE OF COMPLACENY:

- Absense of major or visible crisis
- Too many visible resources
- Low overall performance standards
- Focusing employees on narrow functional goals
- Wrong performance indexes
- Lack of sufficient feedback from external sources
- Culture of low-candor, low confrontation
- Too much happy talk from senior management

STEP 1 – PUSHING UP URGENCY LEVELS

OBSERVATION Transformation often starts when a new person is placed in a key role who does not have to defend his past actions.

HOW?

- Allowing errors to blow up instead of last-minute fixes
- Eliminating obvious examples of excess
- Setting targets so high that they cannot be reached through business-as-usual
- Sending more (negative) information regarding clients and performance to more employees
- Insisting that managers and employees talk to unsatisfied clients and unhappy suppliers regularly
- Using external consultants to force relevant data and honest discussion into management meetings
- Bombarding people with information regarding future opportunities

STEP 2 – CREATING GUIDING COALITION

OBSERVATION

If there is not enough trust within the guiding coalition or between the coalition and the employees, change efforts are doomed to fail.

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE GUIDING COALITION:

| POSITION POWER | With enough key players on board and those who are left out cannot block progress |
|----------------|---|
| EXPERTISE | Various points of view – discipline, experience, nationality etc. – relevant to the task in hand are adequately represented leading to informed and intelligent decisions |
| CREDIBILITY | With enough people with good reputations so that its pronouncements will be taken seriously by the employees |
| LEADERSHIP | Leadership and management working in tandem: leadership drives change, management controls process |

GUIDING COALITION NEEDS TO MAKE THINGS HAPPEN - HOW?

| FINDING RIGHT PEOPLE | strong position power, broad expertise, high credibility leadership and management skills change group must share diagnosis of organizational problems, otherwise they'll not agree on the cure |
|--------------------------|---|
| CREATING TRUST | lots of talk and joint activities with the employees, such as off-site events trust must be raised to have people looking beyond their small world and creating a common goal |
| DEVELOPING A COMMON GOAL | sensible to the head appealing to the heart |

STEP 3 – DEVELOPING VISION

VISION refers to a picture of the future with some implicit or explicit commentary on why people should strive to create that future.

CHARACTERISTICS OF A GOOD VISION:

| IMAGINABLE | Conveys a picture of what the future will look like |
|--------------|---|
| DESIRABLE | Appeals to the long term interests of employees, clients, and other stakeholders |
| FEASIBLE | Comprises realistic, attainable goals |
| FOCUSED | Clear enough to provide guidance in decision making |
| FLEXIBLE | General enough to allow individual initiative and alternative responses in light of changing conditions |
| COMMUNICABLE | Can be successfully explained within 5 minutes |
STEP 3 – VISION, STRATEGY, ETC.

| LEADERS | RESPONSIBLE FOR DOING THE RIGHT THINGS - EFFECTIVENESS | | |
|---------|--|--|--|
| CREATE | VISION | sensible and appealing picture of the future | |
| | STRATEGIES | logic on how the vision can be achieved | |
| | PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS | for implementing the strategy | |
| | | | |

| MANAGERS | RESPONSIBLE FOR DOING THINGS RIGHT - EFFICIENCY | |
|----------|---|--|
| CREATE | PLANS | steps and timetables to implement the strategies |
| | BUDGETS | plans converted into financial projections |

STEP 3 – CREATING EFFECTIVE VISION

| FIRST DRAFT | Vision process oftens starts with an initial statement of a single individual, reflecting his dreams and real needs |
|--------------------------------|--|
| GUIDING COALITION ROLE | The first draft is modeled over time by the guiding coalition or even a larger group of people |
| IMPORTANCE OF TEAMWORK | The group process of developing the vision only functions with good teamwork |
| ROLE OF THE HEAD AND THE HEART | Both analytical thinking and some dreaming are essential throughout the activity |
| MESSINESS OF THE PROCESS | Vision creation is usually a process of two steps forward and one step back, moving from left to right |
| TIME FRAME | Vision is never created in a single meeting |
| END PRODUCT | The process results in a direction for the future that is desireable, feasible, flexible, conveyable in 5 minutes max. |

STEP 4 – COMMUNICATING THE VISION

| SIMPLICITY | All jargon and technobabble must be eliminated |
|---|--|
| METAPHOR AND ANALOGY | A verbal picture is worth a thousand words |
| MULTIPLE FORUMS | Same message should come from many different locations – large group meetings, memos, posters - and stand a better chance of being heard and remembered |
| REPETITION | Ideas sink in deeply only after they have been heard many times |
| LEADERSHIP BY EXAMPLE | Behaviour from important people that is inconsistent with the vision overwhelms other forms of communication |
| EXPLANATION OF SEEMING INCONSISTENCIES | Unaddressed inconsistencies undermine the credibility of all communication |
| GIVE AND TAKE | Two-way communication is always more powerful than one-way communication, if people do not accept the vision, the next two steps in the process will fail. |

BARRIERS TO EMPOWERMENT (4S)

| STRUCTURES | Client-focused visions often fail because of client-unfocussed organizational structures |
|-------------|---|
| SKILLS | People are expected to change habits build up over years or decades with a few days of training People are given a course before they start their new jobs, but are not provided with mentoring while on the job |
| SYSTEMS | Promotion and compensation systems must be geared towards objectives and create useful change Hire and fire systems must be in line with the new vision |
| SUPERVISORS | If one behavioural aspect of a supervisor is changed, great pressure is put to switch this aspect back to the way it was |

STEP 5 – EMPOWERING PEOPLE

| COMMUNICATING VISION TO EMPLOYEES | If employees have a shared sense of purpose, it will be easier to initiate actions to achieve that purpose |
|---|--|
| MAKING STRUCTURES COMPATIBLE WITH THE VISION | Unaligned structures block needed action |
| PROVIDING TRAINING TO EMPLOYEES | Without the right skills and attitude, people feel disempowered and anxious |
| ALIGING INFORMATION AND PROMOTION SYSTEMS TO VISION | Otherwise they block needed action |
| CONFRONTING SUPERVISORS WHO UNDERCUT THE NEEDED CHANGE | Nothing disempowers people the way a bad boss can |
| | |

OBSERVATION Short-term wins must be planned, organized accordingly, and implemented.

CHARACTERISTICS OF SHORT-TERM WINS:

| VISIBILITY | Large number of people can see by themselves that the result is real Visible results build the credibility needed to sustain efforts over longer periods of time |
|-------------|---|
| UNAMBIGUITY | There is little argument over the win Wins are clearly related to the change effort |

STEP 6 – ROLE OF SHORT-TERM WINS

| PROVIDING EVIDENCES THAT SACRIFICES ARE WORTH IT | Wins greatly help justify the short-term costs involved |
|---|---|
| REWARDING CHANGE AGENTS | After much hard work, positive feedback builds morale and motivation |
| HELPING FINE-TUNE VISION AND STRATEGIES | Short-term wins give the guiding coalition concrete data on the viability of their ideas |
| UNDERMINING CRITICS | Clear improvements in performance make it difficult for people to block needed change |
| KEEPING BOSSES ON BOARD | Providing those higher in the hierarchy with evidence that the transformation is on track |
| BUILDING MOMENTUM | Turns neutrals into supporters, reluctant supporters into active helpers |

STEP 7 – CONSOLIDATING GAINS

OBSERVATION Do not declare victory after the first performance improvement.

WHY?

- The celebration of short-term wins can be lethal if the urgency is lost afterwards.
- Until changed practices attain a new equilibrium and have been driven into the culture, they can be very fragile.
- Because all functions of an organisation are inter-dependendent, change now needs to be comprehensively carried through.

STEP 8 – ANCHORING NEW APPROACH

н

| CULTURE | refers to norms, behavior and shared values among a group of people (<i>the way we do things out here</i>). |
|-------------|---|
| OBSERVATION | New approaches must be anchored to the culture. |

CULTURE IS POWERFUL AND DIFFICULT TO GRAB AND CHANGE:

- WHY? Expresses itself through actions of allmost everyone in the organization
 - Happens without conscious intent and is difficult to challenge or discuss

CULTURE CHANGES ONLY AFTER:

Peoples actions were successfully altered

New behaviour produces some group benefit for a period of time

People see the connection between the new actions and the performance improvement

ANCHORING NEW APPROACHES IN THE CULTURE COMES LAST - WHY?

| DEPENDS ON RESULTS | New approaches only sink into the culture after it is absolutely clear that they work and are superior to old methods |
|---------------------------------|---|
| REQUIRES A LOT OF COMMUNICATION | Without verbal instructions and support, people are often reluctant to admit the validity of new practices |
| CHANGES PROMOTION PROCESSES | If promotion processes are not changed to be compatible with the new practices, the old culture will reassert itself |
| MAY INVOLVE STAFF REPLACEMENT | Sometimes the only way to change culture is to change key people |

STEP 8 – MANAGING CULTURAL CHANGE

OBSERVATION Cultural change follows a top-down approach

STRATEGIC – TRANSFORMATION PROCESS

> TACTICAL – TRANSFORMATION PROCESS

> > OPERATIONAL – TRANSFORMATION PROCESS



RECALL ONE MAJOR CHANGE INTRODUCED IN YOUR AGENCY.

WERE ANY OF THE STEPS OF THE CHANGE MANAGEMENT PROCESS ADOPTED? WHAT WERE THE RESULTS?

WERE ANY OF THE STEPS OF THE CHANGE MANAGEMENT PROCESS NON-ADOPTED? WHAT WERE THE RESULTS?

OVERVIEW

| 1 | CONCEPT | What is the concept of organizational transformation in the public sector? |
|---|-------------|--|
| 2 | ICT SUPPORT | How ICT and Electronic Governance can support organizational transformation? |
| 3 | APPROACHES | What are possible approaches to organizational transformation? |
| | | Change Management |
| | | Business Process Reengineering |
| 4 | SUMMARY | What was presented in this module? |

WHAT ARE THE POSSIBLE APPROACHES TO ORGANIZATIONAL TRANSFORMATION?

BUSINESS PROCESS REENGINEERING

BUSINESS PROCESS REENGINEERING

| BUSINESS PROCESS |
|---------------------|
| REENGINEERING (BPR) |

involves the fundamental rethinking and radical redesign of business processes to achieve dramatic improvements in critical contemporary measures of performance such as cost, quality, service and speed. *[Hammer and Champy]*

| GOALS: | |
|---------------|----------------------------------|
| EFFECTIVENESS | following outcome-based approach |
| EFFICIENCY | reducing costs, time and efforts |
| CONVENIENCE | for customers |

PRINCIPLES

Organize business processes around outcomes, not tasks

Identify all the processes in an organization and prioritize them

Do not automate existing ineffective processes

Capture information once and at the source

BUSINESS PROCESS



DIGITAL GOVERNMENT AND DEVELOPMENT – DIGITAL GOVERNMENT AND ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE UNS, BAHIA BLANCA | 14-25 AUGUST 2017 | 52

COMMON BUSINESS PROCESSES

OBSERVATION

Common Business Processes (CBP) provide an important tool for the transformation of government. Most OECD countries include a focus on developing CBPs as part of their e-government strategy. [OECD]

| BENEFITS | WHY? | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Improving efficiency and effectiveness | By eliminating duplication within and across agencies and reducing data entry errors | | |
| Improving seamless service delivery | By facilitating the information exchange among agencies | | |
| Reusing solutions | By disseminating innovation across government and eliminating the need for agencies to "reinvent the wheel" | | |
| Improving interoperability | By promoting common standards and standardized processes | | |
| Consolidating capacity | By eliminating fragmentation of the agencies | | |
| Focusing on core activities | By providing the option for contracting out some services | | |
| Administrative simplification | By making elements of service delivery common and creating pressure for better aligned strategies and programmes | | |

BPR IN THE PUBLIC SECTOR

| BPR IS WIDELY USED IN THE PUBLIC SECTOR | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| RE-INVENTING DEMOCRACY | Treating citizens as stakeholders and clients and including them in the governance process | | | |
| INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | Providing dramatically better ways of simplifying government and involving citizens through ICT | | | |
| ALTERNATIVE MECHANISMS FOR GOVERNMENT (SERVICES DELIVERY) | Increasing the use of quasi-autonomous non-governmental organizations (Quangos – Quasi Autonomous NGOs) | | | |
| OUTCOMES AND PERFORMANCE | Defining and measuring desired outcomes and holding governments accountable for them | | | |
| PARTNERSHIPS | Creating intergovernmental, public-private and labor-management partnerships | | | |

BPR METHODOLOGY

6 STAGES:

- 1 ENVISION NEW PROCESSES
- 2 INITIATE CHANGE
- 3 PROCESS DIAGNOSIS
- 4 PROCESS REDESIGN
- 5 RECONSTRUCTION
- 6 PROCESS MONITORING

STEP 1 – ENVISION NEW PROCESSES

HINTS

- Ensure management support
- o Identify reengineering opportunities
- Identify enabling technologies
- Align with organizational strategy

STEP 2 – INITIATE CHANGE

HINTS

- o Set up the reengineering team
- Outline performance goals

STEP 3 – PROCESS DIAGNOSIS

REQUIREMENT An assessment must be done about how IT is aligned to creating value for the organization.

MATURITY MODEL FOR ASSESSING IT ORGANIZATION:



EACH GROWTH STAGE REPRESENTS A TRANSFORMATION OF THE IT ORGANZIATION:

| PEOPLE | Skills and competencies |
|--------------|---|
| PROCESSES | Ways of workings |
| STEERING | The goals and results to be realized |
| ATTITUDES | Values, beliefs and the way in which IT behaves towards the organization and IT users |
| INTERACTIONS | Degree of interactions between IT and the organization and stakeholders |

OBSERVATIONS Growth is done step by step. Not every IT organization needs to be at the highest level.

STEP 4 – PROCESS REDESIGN

HINTS

- Develop alternative process scenarios
- Develop new process design
- Design human resource architecture
- Select IT platform
- Develop overall blueprint and gather feedback

STEP 5 – RECONSTRUCTION

A CHECKLIST BEFORE CUT-OVER TO NEW CAPABILITIES INCLUDES ASKING:

- Is the organisation ready?
- Is the staff ready?
- Are businesses and/or citizens ready?
- Is contract management in place?
- Is service management in place?
- Is benefits management in place?
- Is performance management in place?
- Are changes ahead been thought through?

STEP 6 – PROCESS MONITORING

A CHECKLIST OF KEY ISSUES AFTER TRANSITIONING TO A NEW SOLUTION INCLUDES ASKING:

- Was the business case justification realistic?
- Have changes throughout the project compromise our original intentions?
- Have we done a post-implementation review?
- Do we have enough qualified personnel to manage operations including fulfilment contract with third parties?
- Are we actively seeking to improve performance?
- Are we measuring performance?
- Are we setting maturity targets?



RECALL ONE BUSINESS PROCESS THAT WAS REENGINEERED IN YOUR ORGANIZATION.

PLEASE DESCRIBE THE BPR PROCESS THAT WAS FOLLOWED.

EXPLAIN SOME OF THE CHALLENGES FACED.

EXPLAIN SOME OF THE BENEFITS OBTAINED.

OVERVIEW

| 1 | CONCEPT | What is the concept of organizational transformation in the public sector? | |
|---|-------------|--|--|
| 2 | ICT SUPPORT | How ICT and Electronic Governance can support organizational transformation? | |
| 3 | APPROACHES | What are possible approaches to organizational transformation? | |
| | | Change Management | |
| | | Business Process Reengineering | |
| 4 | SUMMARY | What was presented in this module? | |

WHAT WAS PRESENTED IN THIS MODULE?

SUMMARY 1

| ORGANIZATIONAL TRANSFORMATION | Radical changes in organizational structure, culture, business processes and service delivery to meet organizational and customers' needs. | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| GOVERNMENT REFORM | Response to pressure from citizens and other stakeholders towards, among other things, improved service quality and higher internal efficiency while being transparent and accountable \rightarrow GOOD GOVERNANCE | | |
| TRENDS IN GOVERNMENT TRANSFORMATION | New Public Management Whole-of-Government Approach Public Value Management | Cost driven User driven Value driven | |
| E-GOVERNANCE AND REFORM | Mutually dependent - Reform is needed for implementing e-Governance; e- Governance facilitates Reform | | |
| APPROACHES TO REFORM | Policy reform Electronic Governance Business Process Reengineer Change Management | Knowledge Management Result-Based Management Risk Management | |

| CHANGE MANAGEMENT | UNFREEZE | Establishing a sense of urgency |
|-------------------|----------|--|
| | | Creating the guiding coalition |
| | | Developing a vision and strategy |
| | | Communicating the change vision |
| | MOVE | Empowering employees for action |
| | | Creating short-term wins |
| | | Consolidating gains, produce more change |
| | FREEZE | Anchoring new approaches in the culture |

SUMMARY 3

BUSINESS PROCESS REENGINEERING

- 1 ENVISION NEW PROCESSES
- 2 INITIATE CHANGE
- 3 PROCESS DIAGNOSIS
- 4 PROCESS REDESIGN
- 5 RECONSTRUCTION
- 6 PROCESS MONITORING

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION.

QUESTIONS?

Tomasz Janowski

Elsa Estevez

Pablo Fillottrani

SOURCES

- 1 Johnson Edosomwan, Organizational Transformation and Process Re- engineering, CRC Press, 1995
- 2 OECD. e-Government as a tool for transformation. 2007
- 3 Mulgan, Geoff, and Prime Minister'S. Strategy Unit. "Public value, reform and innovation." Address to the Institute of Public Administration of Australia, NSW Division 28 (2003).

4 | Etc.